

Please add the following claims:

13. 19. The structure of Claim 17, wherein the cube-corner prisms include a three-sided base and three facets extending therefrom to a common apex, each side of the base having a length between 0.0005 and 0.003 inches, the prisms including a reflective coating adhered to the facets.

15. 20. The structure of Claim 17, wherein the retroreflected light includes a 0.33 degree angle of observation, uniform orientation-free cone of light.

14. 21. A retroreflective structure comprising an array of transparent faceted cube corner prisms having a three-sided base and three facets extending therefrom to a common apex, the base of the prisms lying in a common plane, each side of the base having a length of between about 0.0005 and 0.002 inches, the prisms including a reflective coating adhered to the facets.

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 and 15-21 are presently pending in the application. Claims 19-21 have been added herein. Support for these added claims is found on page 11, lines 21-25 and page 16, lines 15-29 of the originally filed specification. No new matter has been added.

A telephonic interview was held between the Examiner and Applicants' Attorneys (Robert T. Conway and Erik L. Ence) on March 29, 2000. Applicants' Attorneys gratefully appreciate the Examiner's helpful comments regarding the present application. The Examiner notes in his Interview Summary that the rejection of Claims 17-18 under section 102(b) was not discussed. However, Applicants' Attorneys have the understanding that agreement was reached.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejection Claims 1, 3-8, and 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

32